arXiv:1404.2981v1 [math.PR] 11 Apr 2014

On free stable distributions

T. Hasebe *, A. Kuznetsov †

This version: April 14, 2014

Abstract

We investigate the analytical properties of free stable distributions and discover many connections with the classical stable distributions. Our main results include an explicit formula for the Mellin transform, series representations for the characteristic function and for the density of the free stable distribution; all of these explicit formulas exhibit close resemblance to the corresponding expressions for classical stable distributions. As applications of these results we obtain a new derivation of the duality law obtained in [1] and a factorization of a classical stable random variable into the independent (in the classical sense) product of a free stable random variable and a power of Gamma(2) random variable.

Keywords: free stable distribution, stable distribution, Mellin transform

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 46L54, 60E07

*Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Kita 10, Nishi 8, Kitaku, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. Email: thasebe@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario, M3J 1P3, Canada. Email: kuznetsov@mathstat.yorku.ca

1 Introduction and main results

The class $\mathfrak{W}^*$ of classical (strictly) stable distributions (see [7]) is uniquely characterized by the following property: $\mu \in \mathfrak{W}^*$ if and only if for any $c_1, c_2 > 0$ there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\mu_{c_1} * \mu_{c_2} = \mu_{c_3}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mu_c(\mathrm{d}y)$ is obtained from measure $\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$ by scaling $y = cx$ (equivalently, $\mu_c((-\infty, y]) := \mu((-\infty, y/c])$) and the binary operator "$*$" denotes the classical convolution. Similarly, the class $\mathfrak{W}^{\boxplus}$ of free (strictly) stable distributions (see [1, 5]) is characterized by the scaling property (1) with the classical convolution "$*$" replaced by the free convolution "$\boxplus$". The similarities end at this stage, and in all other respects these two families of distributions seem to be quite different. For example, the main tool for working with a classical stable distribution is its characteristic function, while free stable distributions are described by their Cauchy transform. Another difference is that classical stable distributions have explicit formulas for the Mellin transform and their densities have explicit series expansions (see Sections 2.4, 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.3 in [7]), whereas the density of the free stable distributions enjoys a representation as an inverse function of a rather simple explicit function (Propositions A.1.2-A.1.4 in [1]) (a result which does not have an analogue in the case of classical stable distributions).

At the same time, several results in the recent papers by Demni [3] and Haagerup and Möller [4] have offered glimpses of possible deeper similarities and connections between the $\mathfrak{W}^*$ and $\mathfrak{W}^{\boxplus}$ distributions. Demni [3] has investigated the appearance of the Kanter random variable both in classical and free stable distributions, while the Mellin transform of the positive free stable distributions which was computed by Haagerup and Möller in [4] bears close resemblance to the Mellin transform of the classical stable distributions, see [7, Theorem 2.6.3].

Our main goal in this paper is to investigate analytical properties of free stable distributions, and to demonstrate their close connections with the classical stable distributions. First, let us introduce notations and definitions and present a new parameterization of free stable distributions. It is known that (up to a scaling parameter) any strictly stable distribution is uniquely characterized by two parameters α and ρ belonging to the *set of admissible parameters*

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\alpha \in (0,1),\ \rho \in [0,1]\} \cup \{\alpha \in (1,2],\ \rho \in [1-\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}]\}. \tag{2}$$

The characteristic function of a distribution $\mu_{\alpha,\rho} \in \mathfrak{W}^*$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha,\rho}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}zx} \mu_{\alpha,\rho}(\mathrm{d}x) = \exp\left(-z^\alpha e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)}\right), \quad z > 0. \tag{3}$$

Similarly, free stable distributions can be characterized by two parameters α and $\tilde{\rho}$, belonging to the set

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\alpha \in (0,1) \cup (1,2],\ \tilde{\rho} \in [0,1]\}. \tag{4}$$

A free stable distribution $\nu_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}} \in \mathfrak{W}^{\boxplus}$ is characterized by the *Voiculescu transform*

$$\phi_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}}(z) = \begin{cases} -e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha\tilde{\rho}} z^{-\alpha+1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (0,1), \\ e^{\pi \mathrm{i}(\alpha-2)\tilde{\rho}} z^{-\alpha+1}, & \text{if } \alpha \in (1,2], \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathrm{Im}(z) > 0$. In the above formula and everywhere else in this paper we use the principal branch of the logarithm $\ln(z)$ and the power function $z^\alpha = \exp(\alpha \ln(z))$. The Voiculescu transform is connected to the measure $\nu_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}}$ via the Cauchy transform

$$G_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\nu_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}}(\mathrm{d}x)}{z - x}, \quad \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0, \tag{6}$$

by requiring $G_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}}(z)$ to be the inverse function of $z \mapsto 1/z + \phi_{\alpha,\tilde{\rho}}(1/z)$. The parameterization $(\alpha, \tilde{\rho})$ which is used to define the Voiculescu transform via (5) goes back to the seminal work of Bercovici, Pata and Biane [1]. In order to present our formulas in a more compact way and to highlight close connections with the classical stable distributions, we have to introduce a new parameterization for the class of free stable distributions. Instead of using the pair $(\alpha, \tilde{\rho}) \in \mathcal{B}$ we will use parameters

$$(\alpha, \rho) = \left(\alpha, (1 - (2-\alpha)\tilde{\rho})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right). \tag{7}$$

Note that the map $(\alpha, \tilde{\rho}) \mapsto (\alpha, \rho)$ is a bijection between the set $\mathcal{B}$ and the set of admissible parameters $\mathcal{A}$. Using this new parameterization $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$ we see that the Voiculescu transform of a distribution $\nu_{\alpha,\rho} \in \mathfrak{W}^{\boxplus}$ is given by a single expression

$$\phi_{\alpha,\rho}(z) = -e^{\pi i \alpha \rho} z^{-\alpha+1}, \tag{8}$$

whereas the original parameterization (5) requires different formulas for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\alpha \in (1,2]$.

For $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$ we will denote by $X_{\alpha,\rho}$ ($Y_{\alpha,\rho}$) an $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variable having distribution $\nu_{\alpha,\rho} \in \mathfrak{W}^{\boxplus}$ (respectively, $\mu_{\alpha,\rho} \in \mathfrak{W}^{*}$).

Now we are ready to present our results, and the proofs are provided in the next section.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for $s \in (-1, \alpha)$*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X_{\alpha,\rho})^s \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{\alpha,\rho}>0\}}\right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(\pi\rho s) \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{s}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(2 + s - \frac{s}{\alpha}\right)}. \tag{9}$$

The expression for the Mellin transform of $X_{\alpha,\rho}$ in (9) is remarkably similar to the corresponding result for the classical stable distributions (see [7, Theorem 2.6.3]):

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(Y_{\alpha,\rho})^s \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{\alpha,\rho}>0\}}\right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(\pi\rho s)\Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{s}{\alpha}\right), \quad \text{for} \quad -1 < s < \alpha. \tag{10}$$

Taking the limit $s \to 0$ in (9) we obtain the following

Corollary 1. *Assume that $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathbb{P}(X_{\alpha,\rho} > 0) = \rho$.*

The above result clearly demonstrates the benefit of our new parameterization for the free stable distributions: The parameter ρ (unlike $\tilde{\rho}$) has a very natural interpretation as the positivity parameter $\rho = \mathbb{P}(X_{\alpha,\rho} > 0)$, which is consistent with its definition for the classical stable random variables $Y_{\alpha,\rho}$.

As we will see later, Theorem 1 implies that the free stable distribution $\nu_{\alpha,\rho}$ is absolutely continuous, with a smooth density $\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ (a fact that was first established in [1]). The following duality result was first established in [1], and in this paper we give a new derivation of this result as a simple corollary of Theorem 1. We would like to emphasize that the same duality relation also holds for the densities of classical stable distributions.

Corollary 2. *Assume that $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for $x > 0$*

$$\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = x^{-\alpha-1} \psi_{\frac{1}{\alpha},\alpha\rho}(x^{-\alpha}). \tag{11}$$

The above duality law can also be written in terms of random variables. If ξ is a random variable such that $\mathbb{P}(\xi > 0) > 0$, we will denote by $\hat{\xi}$ the *cutoff* of ξ, which is a positive random variable with distribution given by

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\xi} \in A) = \mathbb{P}(\xi \in A \mid \xi > 0),$$

for all Borel sets $A \subseteq (0, \infty)$. It is easy to see from $\mathbb{P}(X_{\alpha,\rho} > 0) = \rho$ that the identity (11) is equivalent to

$$\hat{X}_{\alpha,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\hat{X}_{\frac{1}{\alpha},\alpha\rho}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 1 also gives the following distributional identity between stable and free stable distributions.

Corollary 3. *Let Z be a Gamma(2) random variable (that is, a positive random variable with the density $p_Z(x) = xe^{-x}$, $x > 0$). For $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$Y_{\alpha,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\alpha,\rho} \times Z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \tag{13}$$

where the random variables $X_{\alpha,\rho}$ and Z are assumed to be independent.

Corollary 4. *Assume that $(\alpha, \rho_i) \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\frac{X_{\alpha,\rho_1}}{X_{\alpha,\rho_2}} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{X_{\alpha,\rho_2}}{X_{\alpha,\rho_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{X}_{\alpha,\rho_1}}{\hat{X}_{\alpha,\rho_2}} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\hat{X}_{\alpha,\rho_2}}{\hat{X}_{\alpha,\rho_1}}, \tag{14}$$

where all random variables are assumed to be independent.

The next theorem is our second main result, where we establish a convergent series representation for $\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$ and denote $x^* := \alpha(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}$. Then*

$$\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}{n!\Gamma(2+(\alpha-1)n)} \sin(n\alpha\rho\pi) x^{-\alpha n-1}, \quad x \geq x^*, \tag{15}$$

$$\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}{n!\Gamma\left(2+\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)n\right)} \sin(n\rho\pi) x^{n-1}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq x^*. \tag{16}$$

The corresponding series expansions for $\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ when $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and $\rho \in [1-\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}]$ can be obtained using (11), (15) and (16), and the expressions for $x < 0$ follow from $\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = \psi_{\alpha,1-\rho}(-x)$.

The series expansions (15) and (16) have direct analogues in the case of classical stable distribution. The following result is given in [7, Theorem 2.4.2, Theorem 2.5.1]: Let $p_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ denote the density of the random variable $Y_{\alpha,\rho}$, then for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$

$$p_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}{n!} \sin(n\alpha\rho\pi) x^{-\alpha n-1}, \quad x > 0, \tag{17}$$

and at the same time $p_{\alpha,\rho}$ has the asymptotic expansion

$$p_{\alpha,\rho}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}{n!} \sin(n\rho\pi) x^{n-1}, \quad x \to 0. \tag{18}$$

When $x < 0$ we have $p_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = p_{\alpha,1-\rho}(x)$. In the case $\alpha > 1$ the situation is reversed: the series (18) gives a convergent series representation while (17) provides a complete asymptotic series for $p_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ as $x \to +\infty$.

Our third main result is the series expansion for the characteristic function of the free stable distribution, defined as

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}zx} \psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{19}$$

Theorem 3. *Assume that* $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$. *Then for* $z > 0$

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)n}}{n!\Gamma(2+(\alpha-1)n)} z^{\alpha n}. \tag{20}$$

Note that for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ the value of $\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ is the conjugate of $\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(-z)$, thus the series representation for $\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ when $z < 0$ follows at once from (20). Formula (20) is a direct analogue of the corresponding series for the characteristic function of the classical stable distribution

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha,\rho}(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)n}}{n!} z^{\alpha n}, \qquad z > 0,$$

which can be easily obtained from (3).

2 Proofs

We denote the upper-half plane $\mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \ : \ \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$ and similarly for the lower half-plane $\mathbb{C}^-$. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ lying on the vertical line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 0$ we define

$$M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) := \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{\alpha,\rho})^s \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{\alpha,\rho}>0\}}\right] = \int_0^\infty x^s \psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{21}$$

Proof of Theorem 1: Our first goal is to establish identity (9) for $s \in (-1, 0)$. Assume that $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\gamma_{\alpha,\rho} \subset \bar{\mathbb{C}}^-$ be the the curve given in polar coordinates

$$\gamma_{\alpha,\rho} := \{r(\theta) e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta} \ : \ 0 < \theta < \pi\} \cup \{0\},$$

where

$$r(\theta) := \left(\frac{\sin(\theta)}{\sin[(1-\alpha\rho)\pi + (\alpha-1)\theta)]}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

As explained in Lemma A1.1 in [1], $\gamma_{\alpha,\rho}$ is a closed simple curve lying in the lower half-plane. We consider this curve as the boundary of a Jordan domain $\Omega_{\alpha,\rho}$. As was shown in Lemma A1.1 in [1], the function $G_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ is a one-to-one conformal transformation from $\mathbb{C}^+$ onto $\Omega_{\alpha,\rho}$, which extends continuously to the boundary. Thus $G_{\alpha,\rho}$ gives a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^+ \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\overline{\Omega}_{\alpha,\rho}$. Let us define

$$z^* := \exp(-\pi \mathrm{i}\rho).$$

One can check that $1/z^* + \phi_{\alpha,\rho}(1/z^*) = 0$. This shows that $G_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ (being the inverse of $1/z + \phi_{\alpha,\rho}(1/z)$) maps the positive real line $(0,\infty)$ onto the curve

$$\gamma^+ := \{r(\theta)e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta} \ : \ 0 \le \theta \le \pi\rho\}.$$

Since $G_{\alpha,\rho}(0) = z^*$ and $G_{\alpha,\rho}(+\infty) = 0$, the curve γ^+ is traversed in the direction from z^* to 0.

The fact that $G_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ is the inverse of $1/z + \phi_{\alpha,\rho}(1/z)$ implies

$$w = G_{\alpha,\rho}(x) \Longleftrightarrow x = w^{-1} - e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha\rho}w^{\alpha-1}. \tag{22}$$

We know that $G_{\alpha,\rho}(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, and formula (22) shows that

$$G_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = w = \frac{1}{x}\left(1 - e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha\rho}w^{\alpha}\right) = O(1/x), \quad x \to \infty. \tag{23}$$

At the same time, since the function $1/z + \phi_{\alpha,\rho}(1/z)$ is analytic and has a non-vanishing derivative at $z = z^*$, its inverse function $G_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ is analytic in the neighborhood of zero. By the inversion formula for the Cauchy transform we have

$$\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\operatorname{Im}(G_{\alpha,\rho}(x)), \quad x > 0,$$

therefore for $s \in (-1,0)$

$$M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\operatorname{Im}\left[\int_{(0,\infty)} x^s G_{\alpha,\rho}(x)\mathrm{d}x\right]. \tag{24}$$

The above integral exists for all $s \in (-1,0)$ due to (23) and the fact that $G_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ is analytic in the neighborhood of $x = 0$. The main step of the proof is to make a change of variables $w = G_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ in the above integral. From (22) we find

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}w} = -w^{-2} - (\alpha-1)e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha\rho}w^{\alpha-2}.$$

Using the above result and the fact that $G_{\alpha,\rho}((0,\infty)) = \gamma^+$, we rewrite the integral in (24) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(0,\infty)} x^s G_{\alpha,\rho}(x)\mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\gamma^+}\left(w^{-1} - e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha\rho}w^{\alpha-1}\right)^s w\left(-w^{-2} - (\alpha-1)e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha\rho}w^{\alpha-2}\right)\mathrm{d}w \\ &= -\int_{\gamma^+}\left(1 - \left(\frac{w}{z^*}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^s w^{-s-1}\mathrm{d}w - (\alpha-1)e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha\rho}\int_{\gamma^+}\left(1 - \left(\frac{w}{z^*}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^s w^{-s+\alpha-1}\mathrm{d}w.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Note that both integrals in the right-hand side of (25) are finite for $s \in (-1,0)$. Let us compute the first integral in the right-hand side of (25): we make a substitution $w = uz^*$ and obtain

$$I_1 := \int_{\gamma^+}\left(1 - \left(\frac{w}{z^*}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^s w^{-s-1}\mathrm{d}w = e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\rho s}\int_L (1-u^{\alpha})^s u^{-s-1}\mathrm{d}u,$$

where $L := \gamma^+/z^*$ (L is the curve γ^+ rotated by the angle $\pi\rho$). It is clear that L connects points 0 and 1 (in the direction $1 \mapsto 0$) and $L \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ (except for the endpoints). The function $w \mapsto f(w) = (1-w^{\alpha})^s w^{-s-1}$

extends to $\mathbb{C}^+$ analytically, and therefore we can deform the contour of integration L into an interval $[0,1]$, and we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\rho s}\int_1^0 (1-u^\alpha)^s u^{-s-1}\mathrm{d}u = -\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\rho s}\int_0^1 (1-x)^s x^{-\frac{s}{\alpha}-1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\rho s}\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where in the second step we made a substitution $u = x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and in the third step we have used the well-known integral representation for the beta function. In exactly the same way we deal with the second integral in the right-hand side of (25) and find that for $s \in (-1,0)$

$$I_2 := \int_{\gamma^+}\left(1-\left(\frac{w}{z^*}\right)^\alpha\right)^s w^{-s+\alpha-1}\mathrm{d}w = -\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\rho(s-\alpha)}\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}. \tag{27}$$

Combining formulas (24), (25), (26) and (27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) &= -\frac{1}{\pi}\,\mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\rho s}\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. -(\alpha-1)e^{-\pi\mathrm{i}(1-\alpha\rho)}\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\rho(s-\alpha)}\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi\alpha}\sin(\pi\rho s)\left[\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}+(\alpha-1)\frac{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi\alpha}\sin(\pi\rho s)\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}\left[-\alpha(1+s-\tfrac{s}{\alpha})+(\alpha-1)s\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\sin(\pi\rho s)\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(2+s-\frac{s}{\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of (9) for $s \in (-1,0)$. The extension of the result for $s \in (-1,\alpha)$ follows by analytic continuation. □

Remark 1. The proof of Theorem 1 is similar in spirit to the proof of Lemma 10 in [4]. One can also give an alternative proof of Theorem 1, based on the following steps:

(i) The Mellin transform $M_{\alpha,1}(z)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is known due to Theorem 3 in [4].

(ii) Assume that $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in [0,1]$. One can prove that

$$X_{\alpha,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\alpha,1} \times K_\rho, \tag{28}$$

where the random variable K_ρ is independent of $X_{\alpha,\rho}$ and follows the Cauchy distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(K_\rho \in \mathrm{d}x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \times \frac{\sin(\pi\rho)}{(x+\cos(\pi\rho))^2+\sin^2(\pi\rho)}\mathrm{d}x. \tag{29}$$

The factorization (28) can be established as follows. Let $G_X(z)$ denote the Cauchy transform $\mathbb{E}[1/(z-X)]$ of a random variable X. First note the fact $G_{K_\rho}(z) = 1/(z+e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\rho})$ which can be proved by the residue theorem (this formula and the Cauchy transform inversion formula give the density (29)). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{X_{\alpha,1}\times K_\rho}(z) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{z - X_{\alpha,1}\times K_\rho}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{X_{\alpha,1}} \times \frac{1}{z/X_{\alpha,1} - K_\rho}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{X_{\alpha,1}} \times \frac{1}{z/X_{\alpha,1} + e^{\pi \mathrm{i}\rho}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{-e^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\rho}}{-ze^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\rho} - X_{\alpha,1}}\right] \\ &= -e^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\rho} G_{X_{\alpha,1}}(-e^{-\pi \mathrm{i}\rho} z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}^+. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Recall the fact that for any random variable X and any $a > 0$, there exists $b > 0$ such that G_X has the right compositional inverse G_X^{-1} defined in $\Delta_{a,b} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^- \mid \operatorname{Im} z \in (-b, 0), a|\operatorname{Re} z| \leq -\operatorname{Im} z\}$ and that

$$G_X^{-1}(z) = 1/z + \phi_X(1/z), \qquad z \in \Delta_{a,b}; \tag{31}$$

see [2]. From (30) and (31) one has

$$\phi_{X_{\alpha,1}\times K_\rho}(z) = -e^{\mathrm{i}\pi\rho}\phi_{X_{\alpha,1}}(-e^{-\mathrm{i}\pi\rho}z) = \phi_{X_{\alpha,\rho}}(z)$$

in a common domain. This shows the factorization (28).

(iii) The Mellin transform of the Cauchy distribution is given by (see the case $\alpha = 1$ of [7, 2.11.11])

$$\mathbb{E}[(K_\rho)^s \mathbf{1}_{\{K_\rho > 0\}}] = \frac{\sin \pi\rho s}{\sin \pi s}, \qquad -1 < s < 1.$$

(iv) The explicit expression for $M_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in [0,1]$ follows from the factorization $X_{\alpha,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\alpha,1} \times K_\rho$. We then use the duality identity (11) to obtain $M_{\alpha,\rho}(z)$ for $\alpha \in (1,2]$ and $\rho \in [1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha}]$.

Proof of Corollary 2: Formula (9) implies that for all $(\alpha, \rho) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $-1 < s < \alpha^{-1}$ we have $M_{\alpha,\rho}(-\alpha s) = \alpha^{-1} M_{\alpha^{-1},\alpha\rho}(s)$, which is equivalent to (11) and (12). □

Proof of Corollary 3: Assume that $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in [0,1]$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})s}\right] = \Gamma\left(2 + \left(1 - \tfrac{1}{\alpha}\right) s\right).$$

Using the above identity and formulas (9) and (10) we see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(Y_{\alpha,\rho})^s \, \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{\alpha,\rho}>0\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})s}\right] \times \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{\alpha,\rho})^s \, \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{\alpha,\rho}>0\}}\right],$$

and the uniqueness of the Mellin transform implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{\alpha,\rho} \in A) = \mathbb{P}(X_{\alpha,\rho} \times Z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \in A), \tag{32}$$

for all Borel sets $A \subset (0, \infty)$. The fact that (32) holds also for all Borel sets $A \subset (-\infty, 0)$ follows by using the symmetry condition $-X_{\alpha,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} X_{\alpha,1-\rho}$ and $-Y_{\alpha,\rho} \stackrel{d}{=} Y_{\alpha,1-\rho}$. This ends the proof of (13). □

Lemma 1. *Assume that X, Y, Z and W are independent random variables, such that (i) $X > 0$ and $Y > 0$ a.s., (ii) $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$, (iii) $X \times Z \stackrel{d}{=} Y \times W$ and (iv) there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|Z|^s] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[|W|^s] < \infty$ for all $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Then $Z \stackrel{d}{=} W$.*

Proof. Recall that we denote by $\hat{\xi}$ the cutoff of a random variable ξ. Assume that $\mathbb{P}(Z > 0) > 0$. Condition (iii) implies that $\mathbb{P}(Z > 0) = \mathbb{P}(W > 0)$ and $X \times \hat{Z} \stackrel{d}{=} Y \times \hat{W}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote $f(t) = \mathbb{E}[X^{it}] = \mathbb{E}[Y^{it}]$ and obtain

$$f(t) \times \mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}^{it}] = \mathbb{E}[(X\hat{Z})^{it}] = \mathbb{E}[(Y\hat{W})^{it}] = f(t) \times \mathbb{E}[\hat{W}^{it}].$$

Since $f(t)$ is continuous (as a characteristic function of $\ln(X)$) and $f(0) = 1$ we conclude that for some δ small enough, the function $f(t)$ is non-zero for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Therefore, we can divide both sides of the above identity by $f(t)$ and conclude that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}^{it}] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{W}^{it}]$ for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Condition (iv) implies that both functions $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}^{it}]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\hat{W}^{it}]$ are analytic in the strip $\mathrm{Im}(t) \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, and since they are equal on the set $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, they must be equal for all t in the strip $\mathrm{Im}(t) \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, which implies $\hat{Z} \stackrel{d}{=} \hat{W}$.

In the case if $\mathbb{P}(Z < 0) > 0$ we can apply the same argument as above and show that the cutoff of $-Z$ has the same distribution as the cutoff of $-W$. □

Proof of Corollary 4 follows at once from Corollary 3, Lemma 1, and the following result: the identity (14) is true for classical stable random variables $Y_{\alpha,\rho}$ and their cutoffs $\hat{Y}_{\alpha,\rho}$ (see formulas (3.2.3) and (3.2.5) in [7]). □

Proof of Theorem 2: First of all, let us check that the series in (15) and (16) converge for $x = x^*$. We recall Stirling's asymptotic formula for the gamma function: For every $\epsilon > 0$

$$\ln(\Gamma(z)) = \left(z - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)\ln(z) - z + \tfrac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi) + O_\epsilon(z^{-1}), \quad |\arg(z)| < \pi - \epsilon,\ |z| \to \infty. \tag{33}$$

Using (33) and the reflection formula for the gamma function we check that

$$\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}{n!\Gamma(2+(\alpha-1)n)} = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}{n!}\sin(\pi(\alpha-1)n)\Gamma(-1+(1-\alpha)n) = O\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}(x^*)^{\alpha n}\right), \quad n \to +\infty,$$

which shows that the series in (15) converges for $x = x^*$ (therefore, it converges uniformly on $x \in [x^*, \infty)$). In the same way we check that

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}{n!\Gamma\left(2+\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)n\right)} = O\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}(x^*)^{-n}\right), \quad n \to +\infty,$$

therefore the series in (16) converges for $x = x^*$ (and it converges uniformly for $x \in [-x^*, x^*]$).

Let us prove identity (15). The function $M_{\alpha,\rho}(s)$ given by (9) has simple poles at points

$$s_n = \alpha n,\ n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{s}_m = -m,\ m \geq 1.$$

The poles at s_n {resp. $\hat{s}_m$} come from the factor $\Gamma(1 - s/\alpha)$ {resp. $\Gamma(s)$} in (9). The residues are given by

$$\mathrm{Res}(M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) : s = s_n) = \frac{1}{\pi}(-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha n)}{n!\Gamma(2+(\alpha-1)n)}\sin(n\alpha\rho\pi), \tag{34}$$

$$\mathrm{Res}(M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) : s = \hat{s}_m) = \frac{1}{\pi}(-1)^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)}{m!\Gamma\left(2+\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)m\right)}\sin(m\rho\pi). \tag{35}$$

Using the reflection formula for the Gamma function we check that $M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) \equiv f_1(s) \times f_2(s)$, where

$$f_1(s) := -\frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sin(\pi\rho s)\sin\left(\pi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1\right)s\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{\alpha}\right)}, \quad f_2(s) := \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(-1-s+\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)}.$$

Let us denote $B_r(w) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z-w| < r\}$ and $\delta := \alpha/4$. The function $f_1(s)$ has poles at points $s = n\alpha$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and it satisfies $f_1(s) = O(\exp(-\pi(1-\rho)|\operatorname{Im}(s)|))$ as $\operatorname{Im}(s) \to \infty$. This implies that for some $C_1 > 0$

$$|f_1(s)| \le C_1, \qquad s \notin \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_\delta(n\alpha), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) \ge 0. \tag{36}$$

From Stirling's formula (33) we find that there exist $C_2 > 0$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$|f_2(s)| < C_2|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}}(x^*)^{\operatorname{Re}(s)}, \quad |s| > C_3, \ \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0. \tag{37}$$

Formulas (36) and (37) show that we may use the Mellin transform inversion formula

$$\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = \frac{x^{-1}}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} M_{\alpha,\rho}(s)x^{-s}\mathrm{d}s.$$

Let us define $b_k := \alpha(2k+1)/2$. Shifting the contour of integration $\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \mapsto b_k + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ we obtain

$$\psi_{\alpha,\rho}(x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{k}\operatorname{Res}(M_{\alpha,\rho}(s) : s = s_n) \times x^{-s_n-1} + \frac{x^{-1}}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{b_k+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} M_{\alpha,\rho}(s)x^{-s}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{38}$$

Let us denote the integral in the right-hand side of (38) by $I_k(x)$. Changing the variable of integration $s = b_k + \mathrm{i}u$ we find

$$|I_k(x)| = \left|\mathrm{i}\int_{\mathbb{R}} M_{\alpha,\rho}(b_k+\mathrm{i}u)x^{-b_k-\mathrm{i}u}\mathrm{d}u\right| \le x^{-b_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f_1(b_k+\mathrm{i}u)| \times |f_2(b_k+\mathrm{i}u)|\mathrm{d}u. \tag{39}$$

Note that the vertical line $b_k + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ does not intersect the collection of circles $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_\delta(n\alpha)$, thus the estimate (36) holds true for $s \in b_k + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$. Estimates (36),(37) and (39) show that for all $b_k > C_3$ we have

$$|I_k(x)| \le C_1C_2\left(\frac{x^*}{x}\right)^{b_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(b_k^2+u^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\mathrm{d}u,$$

which implies that $I_k(x) \to 0$ as $k \to +\infty$ as long as $x \ge x^*$. Combining this statement with (34) and (38) gives us (15).

The proof of (16) can be obtained in exactly the same way, except that now one would have to shift the contour of integration in the opposite direction, taking into account the contribution from the simple poles at points $\hat{s}_m$. The details are left to the reader. □

Lemma 2. *Assume that $(\alpha,\rho) \in \mathcal{A}$. For $s > 0$ we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z)z^{s-1}\mathrm{d}z = \frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\pi\mathrm{i}s\left(\rho-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(2-s+\frac{s}{\alpha}\right)}. \tag{40}$$

Proof. We will use the following result (see Lemma 1 in [6]): *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and X be a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}[|X|^{-s}] < \infty$. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty z^{s-1}\mathbb{E}[\cos(zX)]\mathrm{d}z = \Gamma(s)\cos\left(\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\right)\mathbb{E}[|X|^{-s}],$$
$$\int_0^\infty z^{s-1}\mathbb{E}[\sin(zX)]\mathrm{d}z = \Gamma(s)\sin\left(\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\right)\mathbb{E}[|X|^{-s}\mathrm{sign}(X)].$$

Combining the above two identities we obtain for $s \in (0,1)$

$$\int_0^\infty \mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z)z^{s-1}\mathrm{d}y = \Gamma(s)\cos\left(\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\right)\left[M_{\alpha,\rho}(-s) + M_{\alpha,1-\rho}(-s)\right] + \mathrm{i}\Gamma(s)\sin\left(\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\right)\left[M_{\alpha,\rho}(-s) - M_{\alpha,1-\rho}(-s)\right],$$

where $M_{\alpha,\rho}(s)$ is defined by (21). The required result (40) follows from the above identity, formula (9) and the following trigonometric identity

$$\cos\left(\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\right)\left[\sin(\pi\rho s) + \sin(\pi(1-\rho)s)\right] + \mathrm{i}\sin\left(\tfrac{\pi s}{2}\right)\left[\sin(\pi\rho s) - \sin(\pi(1-\rho)s)\right] = \sin(\pi s)e^{\pi\mathrm{i}s\left(\rho-\frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

Extension of (40) for all $s > 0$ is achieved by analytic continuation, since the right-hand side of (40) is analytic in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 3: The proof follows the Mellin transform inversion technique, and is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2. We will only sketch the main steps and will leave the details to the reader. Let us denote the function in the right-hand side of (40) by $m_{\alpha,\rho}(s)$. Assume that $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in (0,1)$. Using the reflection formula for the Gamma function we find that $m_{\alpha,\rho}(s) = f_1(s) \times f_2(s)$, where

$$f_1(s) := e^{\pi\mathrm{i}s\left(\rho-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\frac{\sin(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)\pi s}{\sin\frac{\pi s}{\alpha}}, \quad f_2(s) := \frac{\Gamma(-1-(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)s)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{s}{\alpha})}.$$

Using Stirling's asymptotic formula (33) we check that the function $u \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto m_{\alpha,\rho}(\mathrm{i}u)$ decays exponentially as $u \to \infty$, thus we can express $\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(x)$ as the inverse Mellin transform

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{1+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} m_{\alpha,\rho}(s)z^{-s}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{41}$$

We define $b_k := \alpha(2k+1)/2$ and shift the contour of integration in (41) $1+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \mapsto -b_k + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$. Taking into account the residues of the integrand at points $s = -\alpha n$ (coming from the factor $\Gamma(s/\alpha)$ in (40)) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\alpha,\rho}(z) = \sum_{0\le n\le k}(-1)^n\frac{e^{\pi\mathrm{i}\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\rho)n}}{n!\Gamma(2+(\alpha-1)n)}z^{\alpha n} + \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{-b_k+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} m_{\alpha,\rho}(s)x^{-s}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{42}$$

It is easy to see that for some $C_1 > 0$ we have for all $k \ge 0$

$$|f_1(-b_k + \mathrm{i}u)| < C_1\exp\left(-\pi(1-|\rho-1/2|)|u|\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Stirling's asymptotic formula (33) shows that there exist constants $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\ln(f_2(s)) = s\ln(-s) + Cs + O(1)$$

as $s \to \infty$, uniformly in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) < -C_3$. The above two estimates can be used to show that the integral in the right-hand side of (42) converges to zero as $k \to +\infty$, which ends the proof of (20) for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in (0,1)$. The extension of the result in the case $\rho \in \{0,1\}$ follows by considering the limit of (20) as $\rho \to 0^+$ or $\rho \to 1^-$. The proof in the case $\alpha \in (1,2]$ can be obtained along the same lines. □

Acknowledgement

The first-named author was supported by Marie Curie Actions – International Incoming Fellowships (Project 328112 ICNCP). The research of the second-named author was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

[1] H. Bercovici, V. Pata, and P. Biane. Stable laws and domains of attraction in free probability theory. *Annals of Mathematics*, 149(3):1023 – 1060, 1999.

[2] H. Bercovici and D.-V. Voiculescu. Free convolution of measures with unbounded support. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 42(3):733 – 773, 1993.

[3] N. Demni. Kanter random variable and positive free stable distributions. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 16:137 – 149, 2011.

[4] U. Haagerup and S. Möller. The law of large numbers for the free multiplicative convolution. In *Operator Algebra and Dynamics*, volume 58 of *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics*, pages 157 – 186. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.

[5] V. Pata. Lévy type characterization of stable laws for free random variables. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 347(7):2457 – 2472, 1995.

[6] C. Profeta and T. Simon. Persistence of integrated stable processes. *arXiv:1403.1064*, 2014.

[7] V. M. Zolotarev. *One-dimensional stable distributions*, volume 65 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.